United States District Court

for the

Middle District of Georgia

	United States of Amer	rica			
	v.) Case No:	5:20-CR-00023-001	
	Rashan Ali Harris)		
Date of Original	1 Judament:	10/24/2021) USM No:	01729-120	
•	s Amended Judgment:) Catherine V	Villiams	
Use Date of Last A	Amended Judgment if Any)		Defendant's	Attorney	
(ORDER REGAR	DING MOTIO	N FOR SE	NTENCE RED	UCTION
	PURSUANT	TO 18 U.S.C.	§ 3582(c)(2)	(Amendment	821)
under 18 U.S.C. that has subsequand having con	ently been lowered an	luction in the term of d made retroactive b and taking into acco	f imprisonment by Amendment bunt the policy	imposed based on a 821 to the <i>United Sta</i> statement set forth	guideline sentencing range ates Sentencing Guidelines, at USSG §1B1.10 and the
IT IS ORDERI	ED that the motion is:				
□ DEN			s previously im onths is reduce		nprisonment (as reflected in
the last judgment is					ne Served Ossession of a Firearm by a
Prohibited Person. He was sentenced on October 25, 2021, to a term of 37 months custody, three years of supervised release and a \$100 mandatory assessment. The Court determined that the total offense level was 17 with a criminal history category IV, which yielded an advisory sentencing range of 37-46 months. His subtotal criminal history score was six. He received two additional points for being under a criminal justice sentence when he committed the instant offense. Based on retroactive Amendment 821, Part A, to the <i>United States Sentencing Guidelines</i> defendants with less than seven criminal history points do not receive the additional two-point increase in the criminal history score. If the Court grants the reduction in this case, the new advisory sentencing range would be 30-37 months based on a total offense level 17 and a revised criminal history category III. A reduction of 6 months or more would likely result in his release from custody on February 1, 2024. The government agrees he is eligible for reduction and recommends a sentence at the bottom of the newly calculated range (30 months). This should result in a sentence of time-served, effective February 1, 2024.					
IT IS SO ORD		isions of the judgme	nt dated	<u>0/24/2021</u> shall s/Tilman E. Self, I	remain in effect.
Order Date:	01/09/2024		Judge's signature		
Efforting Date	02/01/2024		ጥህ	non E Colf III II C D	Nistriat Indas
Effective Date:	02/01/2024 (if different from order da	<u></u>	1111	nan E. Self, III, U.S. D Printed name and t	
	, 5 55 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	•			